

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Naylor
Given Name	Martin
Person ID	1287626
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287626.pdf
Family Name	Naylor
Given Name	Martin
Person ID	1287626
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287626.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Lack of public consultation - the only hardcopy communication I've seen in relation to the detailed proposal and deadline of 3rd Oct 2021 was contained in a flyer posted through my letterbox on 30th Sept 2021 on behalf of the local conservative party.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	A wider method of circulation of the proposals to ensure effective and timely dissemination of the information
Family Name	Naylor
Given Name	Martin
Person ID	1287626
Title	Supporting Evidence

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Include files	PFE1287626.pdf
Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Lack of public consultation - the only hardcopy communication I've seen in relation to the detailed proposal and deadline of 3rd Oct 2021 was contained in a flyer posted through my letterbox on 30th Sept 2021 on behalf of the local conservative party.</p> <p>This comment applies to all sections of this consultation.</p> <p>In view of the lack of opportunity and time to consider the entirety of this plan, my comments as to the proposed developments in Shaw and Royton are contained in the file downloaded with this response.</p>